

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant's claims 1, 2, 9 and 15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Benado (US 6,280,197). Claims 3-8, 10 and 11 stand objected to but, according to the Examiner, would be allowable if rewritten in independent form, including all of the limitations of claims from which they depend, as well as other objections noted by the Examiner. Thus, claims 12, 13, 14, 17 and 18 are objected to as improperly depending from multiple dependent claims. In addition, claims 5-8 are regarded as unclear.

In response Applicant has deleted claims 1-18 and added new claims 19-34. Claim 19 combines claims 1-3 and is believed to be allowable over the Benado patent, as well as substantially comprising claim 3 that the Examiner indicated would be allowable, now rewritten in independent form. Applicant submits that the rewritten claims are allowable over the art and correct the Examiner's objections.

Respectfully submitted,

DENTSPLY International Inc.

By


James B. Bieber

Patent Counsel Reg. No. 28054

Tel.: (717) 849-4514